FORM 33. Response to Notice of Oral Argument

Form 33 March 2023

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ORAL ARGUMENT

Case Number: Nos.	24-1324, 24-1409	
Short Case Caption: Nates	ra, Inc. v. NeoGenomics L	aboratories, Inc.
Filing Party: Nates	ra, Inc.	_
Instructions. No more than two may argue on behalf of each party by counsel from the same firm. I and submit a separate Form 33, by counsel should only submit one Edistinct counsel may elect to be argument, and no amended entry	y or on behalf of parties represented. Cir. R. 34(e)(2). Each sut counsel arguing on behalf form 33 and list all parties have one counsel represented.	resented by the same counsel or arguing counsel must complete f of parties represented by other below. Parties represented by
Parties intending to waive argumargument should complete the rewhole minutes. Rebuttal time is otherwise ordered, cases must not	mainder of the form. Argur only allowed for Appellants	ment time must be identified in and Cross-Appellants. Unless
Oral Argument Waiver	☐ The above party intend	s to waive oral argument.
Accommodation Need	☐ Arguing counsel requires an <u>accommodation</u> .	
Arguing Counsel Name (Include Mr., Ms., Dr., etc.)	Mr. Jeffrey A. Lamken	
Parties I am representing at argument (if different from filing parties; attach additional page if needed)		
Phone: (202) 556-2010	Main Argument Mins.:	15 Rebuttal Mins.:
☐ I am dividing time with another	er counsel (counsel must file	e separate Responses).
I acknowledge that (1) oral argur proceed even if I waive argument change through filing an amended appearances in the case and are r	, see Fed. R. App. P. 34(e)–(1 d version of this form; and (3	f); (2) arguing counsel can only) counsel who have not entered
Date: <u>2/23/2024</u>	Signature: /s/ Jeff	rey A. Lamken
	Name: Jeffrey A.	Lamken